

Orthogonalized Fourier Polynomials for Signal Approximation and Transfer (Supplementary Materials)

F. Maggioni¹, S. Melzi¹, M. Ovsjanikov², M. Bronstein^{3,4,5} and E. Rodolà¹

¹Sapienza University of Rome, Italy ²LIX, Ecole Polytechnique, IP Paris, France
³Imperial College London, United Kingdom ⁴Twitter, United Kingdom ⁵USI Lugano, Switzerland

In this document, we report the full derivation for the iterative computation of the transfer matrix \mathbf{O} which, due to lack of space, we did not include in the main manuscript.

1. An iterative formula for the transform \mathcal{O}

We consider $\Pi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ the point-to-point correspondence between two shapes \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{M} . $T_F : L^2(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{N})$ is the functional map associated to this correspondence defined via pull-back $T_F(f) = f \circ \pi, \forall f \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$. \mathbf{C} is the matrix representation of T_F in a truncated pair of bases Φ and Ψ for $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ and $L^2(\mathcal{N})$ respectively. For simplicity we consider both the finite bases with the same dimension K and the general case directly arise from our analysis. $\tilde{\Phi}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}$ are the matrices the columns of which are the eigenproducts of order N of the basis functions contained in Φ and Ψ . We represent each of these eigenproducts as $\tilde{\phi}_h$ and $\tilde{\psi}_\ell$. $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ is the functional maps extended to the eigenproducts following the formula proposed in [NMR*18].

As we describe in the main document, our bases are obtained by applying the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to $\tilde{\Phi}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}$ obtaining the two couples $\tilde{\Phi} = \mathbf{Q}^\Phi \mathbf{R}^\Phi$ and $\tilde{\Psi} = \mathbf{Q}^\Psi \mathbf{R}^\Psi$. We are therefore interested in estimating a transform \mathcal{O} such that $T_F(\mathbf{Q}^\Phi) = \mathbf{Q}^\Psi \mathcal{O}$.

First of all due to the role of \mathbf{C} we can write $\tilde{\Psi} \mathbf{C} = T_F(\tilde{\Phi})$ and $\tilde{\Psi} \tilde{\mathbf{C}} = T_F(\tilde{\Phi})$. These equality could be only approximation depending on the quality of the maps \mathbf{C} and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$, and in the alignment of the bases involved. For this reason we can already set the first K columns of $\mathbf{O} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is a matrix of zeros with K columns and the number of rows equal to the number of functions in \mathbf{Q}^Ψ minus K . This allows us to consider the first K columns of \mathbf{O} already computed and only estimated the remaining ones. For this reason we look for an iterative construction of \mathbf{O} that estimate at each iteration a new column of \mathbf{O} from left to right. This procedure is related with the iterative construction of the bases \mathbf{Q}^Φ and \mathbf{Q}^Ψ .

Let us start writing the explicit formula for the column ζ_i of \mathbf{Q}^Φ :

$$\zeta_i = \frac{1}{\mathbf{R}_{i,i}^\Phi} (\tilde{\phi}_i - \sum_{h=1}^{i-1} \mathbf{R}_{i,h}^\Phi \zeta_h), \quad (1)$$

and in the same way:

$$\xi_j = \frac{1}{\mathbf{R}_{j,j}^\Psi} (\tilde{\psi}_j - \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{R}_{j,\ell}^\Psi \xi_\ell). \quad (2)$$

Now we want to compute the image via T_F of each function ζ_i (column) of \mathbf{Q}^Φ , for $i > K$, thanks to the linearity of T_F we have:

$$T_F(\zeta_i) = T_F\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{R}_{i,i}^\Phi} (\tilde{\phi}_i - \sum_{h=1}^{i-1} \mathbf{R}_{i,h}^\Phi \zeta_h)\right) = \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{R}_{i,i}^\Phi} (T_F(\tilde{\phi}_i) - \sum_{h=1}^{i-1} \mathbf{R}_{i,h}^\Phi T_F(\zeta_h)). \quad (4)$$

Now we can consider that:

$$T_F(\tilde{\phi}_i) = \tilde{\Psi} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{:,i} \quad (5)$$

$$T_F(\zeta_h) = \sum_j \mathbf{O}_{j,h} \zeta_j, \quad (6)$$

where j goes from 1 to the number of functions in \mathbf{Q}^Ψ while $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{:,i}$ is the i -th column of $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$. Equation 6 can be written only because we already know all the elements of \mathbf{O} , $\forall j$ and $\forall h \leq i-1$. Then we can substitute the equivalences 5 and 6 in 4:

$$T_F(\zeta_i) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{R}_{i,i}^\Phi} \left(\tilde{\Psi} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{:,i} - \sum_{h=1}^{i-1} \mathbf{R}_{i,h}^\Phi (\sum_j \mathbf{O}_{j,h} \zeta_j) \right). \quad (7)$$

Now we know that $\tilde{\Psi} = \mathbf{Q}^\Psi \mathbf{R}^\Psi$ so we can write:

$$T_F(\zeta_i) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{R}_{i,i}^\Phi} \left(\mathbf{Q}^\Psi \mathbf{R}^\Psi \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{:,i} - \sum_{h=1}^{i-1} \mathbf{R}_{i,h}^\Phi (\sum_j \mathbf{O}_{j,h} \zeta_j) \right) = \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{R}_{i,i}^\Phi} \left(\sum_j \sum_{\ell=1} \mathbf{R}_{j,\ell}^\Psi \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\ell,i} \zeta_j - \sum_{h=1}^{i-1} \mathbf{R}_{i,h}^\Phi (\sum_j \mathbf{O}_{j,h} \zeta_j) \right), \quad (9)$$

where the last equation comes from the definition of the matrix product. Now we can collect and reorder the element in the last

equation with respect to the sum over j :

$$T_F(\zeta_i) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{R}_{i,i}^\Phi} \left(\underbrace{\sum_j \left(\sum_{l=1} \mathbf{R}_{j,l}^\Psi \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{l,i} - \sum_{h=1}^{i-1} \mathbf{R}_{i,h}^\Phi \mathbf{O}_{j,h} \right) \zeta_j}_{\mathbf{O}_{j,i}} \right), \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{O}_{j,i}$ only depends on $\mathbf{R}^\Phi, \tilde{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbf{R}^\Psi$ and form the first $i - 1$ columns of \mathbf{O} . This result proves that \mathbf{O} can be iteratively computed on its columns as a function of known variables. Moreover, being $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ a function of \mathbf{C} , this result clarify once again that it is possible to fully recover \mathbf{O} from \mathbf{C} justifying the proposed procedure.

References

- [NMR*18] NOGNENG D., MELZI S., RODOLÀ E., CASTELLANI U., BRONSTEIN M., OVSJANIKOV M.: Improved functional mappings via product preservation. *Computer Graphics Forum* 37, 2 (2018), 179–190.

1